UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/579,331	05/25/	2000	Roger V. Beathard	062891.0406	7232	
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 CS L. L. P	07/09/2007		EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV				ADDY, THJUAN KNOWLIN		
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201-2980				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
57125710, 171	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			2614		
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•				07/09/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mike.furr@bakerbotts.com ptomail1@bakerbotts.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commence	09/579,331	BEATHARD ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Thjuan K. Addy	2614					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wil	h the correspondence addre	:ss				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e. cause the application to become AB	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	·				
Status	, , ,							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 A	A <i>pril 2007</i> .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-16,18-46 and 48-51</u> is/are pending in the application.							
l	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_	Claim(s) 1-4,6-16,18-46 and 48-51 is/are rejection	cted.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar .						
			ed to by the Evaminer					
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 May 2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			102.				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n najority under 25 LLC C. S.	140(a) (d) aa (6					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	i priority under 35 0.5.C. 9	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
α <i>)</i>	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		aceived					
		or the cortained copies not the	,001 v 0u.					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date brmal Patent Application					
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/13/07</u> .	6) Other:						
U.S. Patent and T PTOL-326 (R		ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20	0070625				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on April 09, 2007 has been entered. Claims 1, 13, 33, and 44 have been amended. Claims 5, 17, and 47 have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-4, 6-16, 18-46, and 48-51 are still pending in this application, with claims 1, 13, 33, and 44 being independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-16, 18-46, and 48-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grabelsky et al (US 6,711,159), in view of Bowker et al (US 4,797,915).
- 3. In regards to claims 1, 12, 13, 33, 34, 38, 39, 40, 43, and 44, Grabelsky discloses a method and call manager for call routing, comprising: receiving a call request at a first call manager (See Fig. 1 and switch 30) from a first telephony device (See Fig. 1 and first media device/analog telephone 20) coupled to a packet-based network (See Fig. 1 and IP network 10), the call request including a telephone number associated with a second telephony device (See Fig. 1 and second media device/IP telephone 22);

accessing a route list (e.g., list of a plurality of gateway devices and their available ports) associated with the telephone number to determine a port of a gateway device operable to transmit the call request to the second telephony device; and communicating the call request to a second call manager (See Fig. 1 and media gateway controller (MGCs) 50a and 50b) controlling the gateway device included in the route list (See col. 2 lines 29-44, col. 3 lines 3-20, and col. 4 lines 12-33). Grabelsky, however, does not disclose wherein the route list comprises a plurality of route groups. each route group including a list of one or more ports of a plurality of gateway devices. Bowker, however, does disclose wherein the route list (See Fig. 6 and route table 611) comprises a plurality of route groups (See Fig. 6 and group (plurality) of line (route) pools (groups) 621-623), each route group including a list of one or more ports of a plurality of gateway devices (See Abstract, col. 3 lines 3-35, and col. 4-5 lines 63-11). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate these features within the method, as a way of providing an automatic all routing capability for a system, which enable an administrator to designate the manner in which line pools are selected for the placement of external calls.

4. In regards to claims 2 and 14, Grabelsky discloses the method and call manager, wherein: the packet-based network comprises an Internet Protocol (IP) network (See Fig. 1 and IP network 10); the first telephony device comprises an IP telephony device (See Fig. 1 and IP telephone 22); and the second telephony device comprises a non-IP telephony device (See Fig. 1 and analog telephone 20) (See col. 3 lines 42-60).

- 5. In regards to claims 3, 45, 48, and 50, Grabelsky discloses all of claim 3 limitations, except the method and call manager, further comprising: accessing a registration information table to determine a process identification of a route list control process executed by the first call manager and associated with the telephone number; and communicating the call request to the route list control process using the identification, the route list control process operable to access the route list. Bowker, however, does disclose accessing a registration information table (e.g., pool access permission table 607) to determine a process identification (PID) (e.g., pool identifier [PID]) of a route list control process executed by the first call manager and associated with the telephone number; and communicating the call request to the route list control process using the identification, the route list control process operable to access the route list (See col. 3 lines 24-35, col. 5 lines 14-41, and col. 7 lines 7-31).
- 6. In regards to claims 4, 7, 16, 35, 36, and 46, Grabelsky discloses the method, call manager, and call manager software, wherein accessing a route list associated with the telephone number comprises accessing a route list to obtain the device name and port number of the gateway device (See col. 2 lines 3-20 and col. 5-6 lines 57-15).
- 7. In regards to claims 6 and 37, Grabelsky discloses all of claims 6 and 37 limitations, except the method, further comprising: communicating the device name of the gateway device to a device manager executed by the first call manager; and accessing a device name mapping table using the device manager to determine a PID of a first device process executed by the second call manager and controlling the gateway device. Bowker, however, does disclose communicating the device name of

the gateway device to a device manager executed by the first call manager; and accessing a device name mapping table using the device manager to determine a PID of a first device process executed by the second call manager and controlling the gateway device (See col. 3 lines 24-35, col. 5 lines 14-41, and col. 7 lines 7-31).

- 8. In regards to claims 8, 9, 20, and 49, Grabelsky discloses the method and call manager, further comprising: communicating the call request and the port number from the first device process to the gateway device; receiving a call proceed signal from the gateway device indicating acceptance of the call request; and communicating the call proceed signal from the second call manager to the first call manager (See col. 7 lines 3-23).
- 9. In regards to claims 10, 21, 22, 41, 50, and 51, Grabelsky discloses the method and call manager, further comprising: communicating the call request and the port number from the first device process to the gateway device; receiving a call denial signal from the gateway device indicating a denial of the call request; and communicating the call denial signal from the second call manager to the first call manager (See col. 7 lines 24-43).
- 10. In regards to claims 11, 18, and 42, Grabelsky discloses all of claims 11, 18, and 42, limitations, except the method and call manager, further comprising: accessing a device name mapping table using the device manager to determine a PID of a second device process executed by the second call manager and controlling the second gateway device. Bowker, however, does disclose accessing a device name mapping table using the device manager to determine a PID of a second device process

executed by the second call manager and controlling the second gateway device (See col. 3 lines 24-35, col. 5 lines 14-41, and col. 7 lines 7-31).

- 11. In regards to claim 15, Grabelsky discloses all of claim 15, limitations, except the call manager, further comprising: a digit analysis module operable to receive from the call control module the telephone number included in the call request, the digit analysis module further operable to access a registration information table to determine a process identification (PID) of the route list control process associated with the telephone number and to communicate the PID to the call control module; and wherein the call control module communicates the call request to the route list control process using the PID. Bowker, however, does disclose a digit analysis (e.g., digit analyzer) module operable to receive from the call control module the telephone number included in the call request, the digit analysis module further operable to access a registration information table to determine a process identification (PID) of the route list control process associated with the telephone number and to communicate the PID to the call control module; and wherein the call control module communicates the call request to the route list control process using the PID (See col. 4-5 lines 63-11).
- 12. In regards to claim 19, Grabelsky discloses all of claim 19 limitations, except the call manager, wherein the route list control process is operable to communicate the call request and the port number to the second device process using the PID. Bowker, however, does disclose the route list control process being operable to communicate the call request and the port number to the second device process using the PID (See col. 3 lines 24-35, col. 5 lines 14-41, and col. 7 lines 7-31).

- 13. In regards to claim 23, Grabelsky discloses all of claim 23 limitations, except the call manager, wherein the device manager is operable to: receive a signal that a new gateway device has registered with the call manager, the signal including the device name of the gateway device and the PID of the device process controlling the gateway device; and communicate the device name and associated PID to the second call manager. Bowker, however, does disclose receiving a signal that a new gateway device has registered with the call manager, the signal including the device name of the gateway device and the PID of the device process controlling the gateway device; and communicating the device name and associated PID to the second call manager (See col. 3 lines 24-35, col. 5 lines 14-41, and col. 7 lines 7-31).
- 14. In regard to claim 24, Grabelsky disclose all of claim 24 limitations, except the call manager, wherein the device manager is further operable to: receive a signal indicating that a gateway device is no longer under the control of the call manager; delete the device name and associated PID of the gateway device from the device name mapping table; and communicate a deletion signal to the second call manager indicating that the device name and associated PID should be deleted from a device name mapping table of the second call manager. Bowker, however, does disclose receiving a signal indicating that a gateway device is no longer under the control of the call manager; delete the device name and associated PID of the gateway device from the device name mapping table; and communicating a deletion signal to the second call manager indicating that the device name and associated PID should be deleted from a device name mapping table of the second call manager (See 5 lines 14-41).

- 15. In regards to claim 25, Grabelsky discloses all of claim 25 limitations, except the call manager, wherein the device manager is further operable to: communicate the device name and associated PID of each gateway device controlled by the call manager in which device manager is executing to the third call manager. Bowker, however, does disclose communicating the device name and associated PID of each gateway device controlled by the call manager in which device manager is executing to the third call manager (See col. 3 lines 24-35, col. 5 lines 14-41, and col. 7 lines 7-31).
- 16. In regards to claim 26, Grabelsky discloses al of claim 26 limitations, except the call manager, wherein the device manager is further operable to: deleting the device name and associated PID of the gateway devices controlled by the second call manager. Bowker, however, does disclose delete the device name and associated PID of the gateway devices controlled by the second call manager (See col. 5 lines 14-41).
- 17. In regards to claims 27 and 28, Grabelsky discloses all of claim 27 limitations, except the call manager, further comprising: a local route plan database accessible by the route list control process; and a route plan manager operable to download one or more route lists from a global route plan database and further operable to store the route lists in the local route plan database for access by the route list control process. Bowker, however, does disclose a local route plan database accessible by the route list control process; and a route plan manager operable to download one or more route lists from a global route plan database and further operable to store the route lists in the local route plan database for access by the route list control process (See Abstract, col. 3 lines 3-35, and col. 4-5 lines 63-11).

- 18. In regards to claims 29, 30, 31, and 32, Grabelsky discloses all of claim 29 limitations, except the call manager, wherein the route plan manager is further operable to: receive a route plan change notification indicating a modification of a route list in the global route plan database; delete the route list from the local route plan database; download the modified route list form the global route plan database; and store the modified route list in the local route plan database. Bowker, however, does disclose receiving a route plan change notification indicating a modification of a route list in the global route plan database; deleting the route list from the local route plan database; downloading the modified route list form the global route plan database; and storing the modified route list in the local route plan database (See col. 3 lines 3-35, col. 4-5 lines 63-11, and col. 5 lines 14-41).
- 19. In regards to claim 49, Grabelsky discloses the call manager, further comprising: means for receiving a call proceed signal from the second device process; and means for establishing media streaming between the first telephone device and the gateway device in response to receiving the call proceed signal (See col. 2 lines 29-44, col. 3 lines 3-20, and col. 4 lines 12-33).
- 20. In regards to claim 51, Grabelsky discloses all of claim 51 limitations, except the call manager, further comprising: means for receiving a call denial signal from the second device process; means for accessing the route list to obtain a second port number of the gateway device; and means for communicating the call request and the second port number to the second device process. Bowker, however, does disclose means for receiving a call denial signal from the second device process; means for

accessing the route list to obtain a second port number of the gateway device; and means for communicating the call request and the second port number to the second device process (See col. 5 lines 14-41).

Response to Arguments

21. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-16, 18-46, and 48-51 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gopal et al (US 4,748,658) teach an architecture for allocating resources in a telecommunications network.
- 23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thjuan K. Addy whose telephone number is (571) 272-7486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00pm.
- 24. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

25. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thjuan K. Addy Patent Examiner

AU 2614